

Pseudoduality In Supersymmetric Sigma Models on Symmetric Spaces

Mustafa Sarisaman*

*Department of Physics
University of Miami
P.O. Box 248046
Coral Gables, FL 33124 USA*

Monday, April 27, 2009

Abstract

We discuss the target space pseudoduality in supersymmetric sigma models on symmetric spaces using two different methods, orthonormal coframe and component expansion. These two methods yield similar results to the classical cases with the exception that commuting bracket relations in classical case turns out to be anticommuting ones because of the appearance of grassmann numbers. In component expansion method it is understood that constraint relations in case of non-mixing pseudoduality are the remnants of mixing pseudoduality. Once mixing terms are included in the pseudoduality relations the constraint relations disappear.

*msarisaman@physics.miami.edu

1 Introduction

In the previous two works [1, 2] we studied target space pseudoduality between symmetric space sigma models for scalar fields, and supersymmetric sigma models. In this work we will analyse pseudoduality in G/H supersymmetric sigma models [3] in two respects, on the orthonormal coframe first, and then using components.

We know that pseudoduality transformations are not the canonical transformations, but preserve the stress energy tensors of the respective models. It maps the solutions of the equations of motion of the "pseudodual" models¹. We will use the term "pseudodual" to imply that there is a pseudoduality transformations between different sigma models.

We will work in superspace with coordinates $(\sigma^\pm, \theta^\pm)$, where $\sigma^\pm$ are the standard lightcone coordinates on two dimensional Minkowski space and $\sigma^\pm = \sigma \pm \tau$, and $\theta^\pm$ are the fermionic coordinates which are real Grassmann numbers. We will use the references [7, 8, 9, 10] for supersymmetry and superspace constructions. Supersymmetry generating charges and supercovariant derivatives are given respectively by

$$Q_\pm = \partial_{\theta^\pm} - i\theta^\pm \partial_\pm \quad (1)$$

$$D_\pm = \partial_{\theta^\pm} + i\theta^\pm \partial_\pm \quad (2)$$

which obey

$$Q_\pm^2 = -i\partial_\pm \quad D_\pm^2 = i\partial_\pm \quad (3)$$

with all other anti-commutators vanishing. Lagrangian of the model [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(D_+ \mathcal{G}^{-1} D_- \mathcal{G}) + \Gamma \quad (4)$$

with Γ representing WZ term. We introduced the superfield $\mathcal{G}(\sigma, \theta)$ taking values in a compact Lie group G , which can be expanded in components by

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma, \theta) = g(\sigma)(1 + i\theta^+ \psi_+(\sigma) + i\theta^- \psi_-(\sigma) + i\theta^+ \theta^- \chi(\sigma)) \quad (5)$$

where $\psi_\pm$ take values in Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and χ is the auxiliary field. The lagrangian (4) has a global symmetry $G_L \times G_R$ acting on the superfield $\mathcal{G}$

¹That is why it is sometimes called as "on-shell duality" transformation [3, 4, 5, 6].

by left and right multiplication, which produces the following equations of motion

$$D_-(\mathcal{G}^{-1}D_+\mathcal{G}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$D_+[(D_-\mathcal{G})\mathcal{G}^{-1}] = 0 \quad (7)$$

and yields the conserved super currents $\mathcal{J}_+^L = \mathcal{G}^{-1}D_+\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{J}_-^R = (D_-\mathcal{G})\mathcal{G}^{-1}$ taking values in $\mathfrak{g}$. We may write similar expressions for the pseudodual sigma model using tilde. We were able to write pseudoduality relations in the previous work [1, 2, 16] as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}D_\pm\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \pm T(\sigma, \theta)\mathcal{G}^{-1}D_\pm\mathcal{G} \quad (8)$$

where $T(\sigma, \theta)$ is expanded as

$$T(\sigma, \theta) = T(\sigma) + \theta^+\lambda_+ + \theta^-\lambda_- + \theta^+\theta^-N(\sigma)$$

Equations of motion (6) implies that $\lambda_- = 0$, $N = 0$, and $T(\sigma)$ and λ_+ depends on σ^+ . We saw [2] that component expansion of pseudoduality equations leads to three conditions; flat space pseudoduality which gives $\lambda_+ = 0$, $T(\sigma) = id$ and Lie groups have to be same, (Anti)chiral pseudoduality which gives vanishing (ψ_+) ψ_- in both models with distinct Lie groups. We saw that derived conserved super currents serve as the orthonormal frame on the pullback bundle of the target space, we derived curvature relations between two manifolds, which are constants and opposite to each other, implying that both superspaces are the dual symmetric spaces. Motivated by this result we examine pseudoduality conditions in super WZW models based on symmetric spaces. We begin with orthonormal coframe method, and then figure out component expansions. We know that pseudoduality transformation is defined between superspaces z which are the pullbacks of the supermanifolds G and $\tilde{G}$ in case of component expansion, and $SO(G)$ ² and $SO(\tilde{G})$ in case of orthonormal coframe method. This is implicitly intended in our calculations.

2 Orthonormal Coframe Method

We consider a closed subgroup H of a connected Lie group G . We know that symmetric space [17, 18, 19, 20] M is the coset space $M = G/H$ such that Lie

² $SO(G) = G \times SO(n)$, where $dim(G) = dim(\tilde{G}) = n$.

algebras $\mathbf{h}$ of H and $\mathbf{m}$ ³ of M are the orthogonal complements of each other, and $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{h}$, where $\mathbf{h}$ is closed under brackets while $\mathbf{m}$ is $Ad(H)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathbf{g}$, $Ad_h(\mathbf{m}) \subset \mathbf{m}$ for all $h \in H$. Symmetric space conditions are given by the bracket relations

$$[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}] \subset \mathbf{h} \quad [\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}] \subset \mathbf{m} \quad [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}] \subset \mathbf{h} \quad (9)$$

To distinguish space elements of different Lie algebras(subgroups) we will use the indices $i, j, k...$ for the space elements of $\mathbf{g}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma...$ for the space elements of $\mathbf{m}$, and $a, b, c...$ for the space elements of $\mathbf{h}$. Therefore (9) leads to the only allowed structure constants f_{abc} and $f_{a\alpha\beta}$ up to permutations of indices.

Let us first formulate G/H sigma model on superspace before embarking on pseudoduality. $\mathcal{G}(\sigma, \theta)$ was defined in (5), and $\mathcal{J}_{\pm}^L = \mathcal{G}^{-1} D_{\pm} \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{g}$ can be split as

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm}^L = \mathcal{K}_{\pm} + \mathcal{A}_{\pm} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\pm} \in \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\pm} \in \mathbf{h}$. The Lagrangian for the G/H sigma model is

$$\mathcal{L}_{G/H} = \frac{1}{2} Tr(\mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{K}_-) + \Gamma_{G/H} \quad (11)$$

where $\Gamma_{G/H}$ represents the Wess-Zumino term for G/H supersymmetric sigma model. Equations of motion following from (6), (7) and (9) are

$$\mathcal{K}_{+-} = 0 \quad \mathcal{K}_{-+} = [\mathcal{K}_-, \mathcal{A}_+] + [\mathcal{A}_-, \mathcal{K}_+] \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{+-} = 0 \quad \mathcal{A}_{-+} = [\mathcal{A}_-, \mathcal{A}_+] + [\mathcal{K}_-, \mathcal{K}_+] \quad (13)$$

We choose an orthonormal coframe $\{L^i\}$ with the Riemannian connection L_j^i on the superspace G . L^i is the left invariant Cartan one form, which satisfies the Cartan structural equations

$$dL^i + L_j^i \wedge L^j = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$dL_j^i + L_k^i \wedge L_j^k = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{jkl}^i L^k \wedge L^l \quad (15)$$

The Maurer-Cartan equation

$$dL^i + \frac{1}{2} f_{jk}^i L^j \wedge L^k = 0 \quad (16)$$

³ $\mathbf{m}$ is not the Lie algebra of M , it is defined as Lie subgroup of M [18].

leads to $L_k^i = \frac{1}{2}f_{jk}^i L^j$. If the superspace coordinates are given by $z = (\sigma^\pm, \theta^\pm)$, and $L^i = dz^M L_M^i$, the covariant derivative of L^i can be written as

$$dL_M^i + L_j^i L_M^j = dz^N L_{MN}^i \quad (17)$$

The pseudoduality equations (8) are written as

$$\tilde{L}_\pm^i = \pm \mathcal{T}_j^i L_\pm^j \quad (18)$$

We already know how to solve these equations from previous paper [2]. Now let us construct the symmetric space M and its complement H -space formulations. We will use the same symbols as the supercurrents to define orthonormal coframes and corresponding connections on superspaces M and H . Let $\mathcal{K}^\alpha$ ($\mathcal{A}^a$) be the orthonormal coframe, and $\mathcal{K}_\beta^\alpha$ ($\mathcal{A}_b^a$) be the Riemannian connection on subspace M (H).

2.1 Setting up the Theory on M

We already found the equations of motion in (12), where $\mathcal{K}^\alpha = dz^M \mathcal{K}_M^\alpha$. The Maurer-Cartan equation (16) can be written as

$$d\mathcal{K}^\alpha + f_{\beta a}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\beta \wedge \mathcal{A}^a = 0 \quad (19)$$

which leads to the following connections by comparison to (21)

$$\mathcal{K}_\beta^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}f_{a\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{A}^a \quad \mathcal{K}_a^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}f_{\beta a}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\beta \quad (20)$$

Cartan structural equations can be split on M as

$$d\mathcal{K}^\alpha + \mathcal{K}_\beta^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{K}^\beta + \mathcal{K}_a^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{A}^a = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$d\mathcal{K}_\beta^\alpha + \mathcal{K}_\gamma^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{K}_\beta^\gamma + \mathcal{K}_a^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{A}_\beta^a = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda\mu}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{K}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{\beta ab}^\alpha \mathcal{A}^a \wedge \mathcal{A}^b + \mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda a}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{A}^a \quad (22)$$

$$d\mathcal{K}_a^\alpha + \mathcal{K}_\gamma^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{K}_a^\gamma + \mathcal{K}_b^\alpha \wedge \mathcal{A}_a^b = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{a\lambda\mu}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{K}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{abc}^\alpha \mathcal{A}^b \wedge \mathcal{A}^c + \mathcal{R}_{a\lambda b}^\alpha \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{A}^b \quad (23)$$

The covariant derivative (17) is written

$$d\mathcal{K}_M^\alpha + \mathcal{K}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{K}_M^\beta + \mathcal{K}_a^\alpha \mathcal{A}_M^a = dz^N \mathcal{K}_{MN}^\alpha \quad (24)$$

We observe that all the fields on $\mathbf{m}$ -space have additional mixing components to $\mathbf{h}$ -space, which leads us to write down the pseudoduality equations on $\mathbf{m}$ -space in a predictable way

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\pm}^{\alpha} = \pm \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\beta} \pm \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^a \quad (25)$$

We take the exterior derivative, use (24) and (41), and arrange the terms to get

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\pm}^{\alpha} = \pm d\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\beta} \pm \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} d\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\beta} \pm d\mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^a \pm \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} d\mathcal{A}_{\pm}^a \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dz^N \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\pm N}^{\alpha} = & \pm (d\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^a) \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\lambda} \\ & \pm (d\mathcal{T}_b^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_b^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_b^a) \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^b \\ & \pm dz^N \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\pm N}^{\beta} \pm dz^N \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\pm N}^a \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

we now wedge this equation by $dz^{\pm}$ to see the effect of equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} dz^{\pm} \wedge dz^{\mp} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\pm\mp}^{\alpha} = & \pm dz^{\pm} \wedge (d\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^a) \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\lambda} \\ & \pm dz^{\pm} \wedge (d\mathcal{T}_b^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_b^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_b^a) \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^b \\ & \pm dz^{\pm} \wedge dz^{\mp} \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\pm\mp}^{\beta} \pm dz^{\pm} \wedge dz^{\mp} \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\pm\mp}^a \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Equations of motion (12) and (13) provide some cancellations, and we obviously see that (+) equation gives us the following constraint relations

$$d\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^a = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$d\mathcal{T}_b^{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^{\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_b^a - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_b^{\beta} - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_b^a = 0 \quad (30)$$

where we treated $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}^b$ as independent components, and we set these equations equal to zero because $d\mathcal{T}$ is a one form. (−) equation has pure contributions from the equations of motion

$$dz^{-} \wedge dz^{+} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-+}^{\alpha} = -dz^{-} \wedge dz^{+} (\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{-+}^{\beta} + \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{-+}^a) \quad (31)$$

We use the corresponding equations of motions, and obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned} dz^{-} \wedge dz^{+} (\tilde{f}_{a\beta}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{+}^a \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{-}^{\beta} + \tilde{f}_{\beta a}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{+}^{\beta} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}^a = & -\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_{a\lambda}^{\beta} \mathcal{A}_{+}^a \mathcal{K}_{-}^{\lambda} - \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_{\lambda a}^{\beta} \mathcal{K}_{+}^{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{-}^a \\ & - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} f_{bc}^a \mathcal{A}_{+}^b \mathcal{A}_{-}^c - \mathcal{T}_a^{\alpha} f_{\beta\lambda}^a \mathcal{K}_{+}^{\beta} \mathcal{K}_{-}^{\lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

If we use the expansions $\mathcal{K}^\alpha = dz^M \mathcal{K}_M^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{A}^a = dz^M \mathcal{A}_M^a$, and the connection one forms (20) and (40) the result follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\beta^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_-^\beta + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_-^a = -\mathcal{T}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda - \mathcal{T}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{K}_b^\beta \mathcal{A}_-^b - \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha \mathcal{A}_b^a \mathcal{A}_-^b - \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda \quad (33)$$

Now we use pseudoduality equations (25) and (45) for $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_-^\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_-^a$, and compare the coefficients of $\mathcal{K}_-^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{A}_-^b$ to obtain the results

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\beta + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\lambda^a = \mathcal{T}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{T}_b^\beta + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^\alpha \mathcal{T}_b^a = \mathcal{T}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{K}_b^\beta + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha \mathcal{A}_b^a \quad (35)$$

we immediately notice that if these results are substituted into (29) and (30) we obtain $d\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\alpha = d\mathcal{T}_b^\alpha = 0$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{T}_b^\alpha$ must be constant, and we choose them to be identity. Hence the pseudoduality relations between symmetric spaces will simply be

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\pm^\alpha = \pm \mathcal{K}_\pm^\alpha \pm \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0) \mathcal{A}_\pm^a \quad (36)$$

Here $\mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0)$ is the identity mapping which provides the mixing of H -space to $\tilde{M}$. From the relations (34) and (35), which can simply be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\lambda^a(0) = \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\alpha + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0) \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \quad (37)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{T}_b^\beta(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_a^\alpha \mathcal{T}_b^a(0) = \mathcal{K}_b^\alpha + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0) \mathcal{A}_b^a \quad (38)$$

we may find relations between curvatures by means of (22) and (23). Since these equations require H -space connections, before going further it is worth to analyze H -space pseudoduality.

2.2 Pseudoduality on H

One form is defined by $\mathcal{A}^a = dz^M \mathcal{A}_M^a$. The Maurer-Cartan equation (16) corresponding to H -space will be

$$dA^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a A^b \wedge A^c + \frac{1}{2} f_{\alpha\beta}^a K^\alpha \wedge K^\beta = 0 \quad (39)$$

Cartan structural equations are split as

$$d\mathcal{A}^a + \mathcal{A}_b^a \wedge \mathcal{A}^b + \mathcal{A}_\beta^a \wedge \mathcal{K}^\beta = 0 \quad (40)$$

$$d\mathcal{A}_b^a + \mathcal{A}_c^a \wedge \mathcal{A}_b^c + \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{A}_b^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{bcd}^a \mathcal{A}^c \wedge \mathcal{A}^d + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{b\lambda\mu}^a \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{K}^\mu + \mathcal{R}_{bc\lambda}^a \mathcal{A}^c \wedge \mathcal{K}^\lambda \quad (41)$$

$$d\mathcal{A}_\alpha^a + \mathcal{A}_c^a \wedge \mathcal{A}_\alpha^c + \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{abc}^a \mathcal{A}^b \wedge \mathcal{A}^c + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{a\lambda\mu}^a \mathcal{K}^\lambda \wedge \mathcal{K}^\mu + \mathcal{R}_{ab\lambda}^a \mathcal{A}^b \wedge \mathcal{K}^\lambda \quad (42)$$

A comparison of (39) to (40) gives the following connections

$$\mathcal{A}_c^a = \frac{1}{2}f_{bc}^a \mathcal{A}^b \quad \mathcal{A}_\beta^a = \frac{1}{2}f_{\alpha\beta}^a \mathcal{K}^\alpha \quad (43)$$

The covariant derivative of $\mathcal{A}^a$ is

$$d\mathcal{A}_M^a + \mathcal{A}_b^a \mathcal{A}_M^b + \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a \mathcal{K}_M^\lambda = dz^N \mathcal{A}_{MN}^a \quad (44)$$

Using the same reasoning above we may write the pseudoduality equations on H -space as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\pm^a = \pm \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\pm^b \pm \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\pm^\beta \quad (45)$$

We take the exterior derivative

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\pm^a = \pm d\mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\pm^b \pm \mathcal{T}_b^a d\mathcal{A}_\pm^b \pm d\mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\pm^\beta \pm \mathcal{T}_\beta^a d\mathcal{K}_\pm^\beta \quad (46)$$

and use the covariant derivatives (26) and 44 followed by the pseudoduality equations (36) and 45 to get

$$\begin{aligned} dz^N \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\pm N}^a = & \pm (d\mathcal{T}_c^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_c^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \mathcal{T}_c^\lambda(0) - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_c^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_c^\beta) \mathcal{A}_\pm^c \\ & \pm (d\mathcal{T}_\lambda^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\lambda^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta) \mathcal{K}_\pm^\lambda \\ & \pm dz^N \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_{\pm N}^b \pm dz^N \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_{\pm N}^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

If this equation is wedged by $dz^\pm$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} dz^\pm \wedge dz^\mp \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\pm\mp}^a = & \pm dz^\pm \wedge (d\mathcal{T}_c^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_c^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \mathcal{T}_c^\lambda(0) - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_c^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_c^\beta) \mathcal{A}_\pm^c \\ & \pm dz^\pm \wedge (d\mathcal{T}_\lambda^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\lambda^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta) \mathcal{K}_\pm^\lambda \\ & \pm dz^\pm \wedge dz^\mp \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_{\pm\mp}^b \pm dz^\pm \wedge dz^\mp \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_{\pm\mp}^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

(+) (upper) equation yields the following constraints

$$d\mathcal{T}_c^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_c^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \mathcal{T}_c^\lambda(0) - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_c^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_c^\beta = 0 \quad (49)$$

$$d\mathcal{T}_\lambda^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\lambda^b - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta = 0 \quad (50)$$

one finds out the following constraint relation between equations of motion from (-) (lower) equation

$$dz^- \wedge dz^+ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-+}^a = -dz^- \wedge dz^+ (\mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_{-+}^b + \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_{-+}^\beta) \quad (51)$$

We use the equations of motions and find that

$$\begin{aligned} dz^- \wedge dz^+ (\tilde{f}_{bc}^a \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_+^b \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_-^c + \tilde{f}_{\beta\lambda}^a \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_+^\beta \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_-^\lambda) = & -\mathcal{T}_b^a f_{cd}^b \mathcal{A}_+^c \mathcal{A}_-^d - \mathcal{T}_b^a f_{\beta\lambda}^b \mathcal{K}_+^\beta \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda \\ & - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a f_{b\lambda}^\beta \mathcal{A}_+^b \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a f_{\lambda b}^\beta \mathcal{K}_+^\lambda \mathcal{A}_-^b \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

we again use $K_\alpha = dz^M K_M^\alpha$ and $A^a = dz^M A_M^a$ followed by connection forms (20) and (40) to obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_c^a \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_-^c + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_-^\lambda = -\mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_c^b \mathcal{A}_-^c - \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\lambda^b \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta \mathcal{K}_-^\lambda - \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_c^\beta \mathcal{A}_-^c \quad (53)$$

If the pseudoduality equations (36) and (45) for $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_-^\lambda$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_-^c$ is inserted, one finds

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_c^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \mathcal{T}_c^\lambda(0) = \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_c^b + \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_c^\beta \quad (54)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a = \mathcal{T}_b^a \mathcal{A}_\lambda^b + \mathcal{T}_\beta^a \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta \quad (55)$$

These equations together with constraint relations above yield that $d\mathcal{T}_c^a = d\mathcal{T}_\lambda^a = 0$, which shows that $\mathcal{T}_c^a$ and $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^a$ are constants, chosen to be identity as in the previous part. Therefore we are left with the pseudoduality equations in reduced form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\pm^a = \pm \mathcal{A}_\pm^a \pm \mathcal{T}_\beta^a(0) \mathcal{K}_\pm^\beta \quad (56)$$

with corresponding constraint relations whose integrability conditions will give us the relations between curvatures

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_c^a + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a \mathcal{T}_c^\lambda(0) = \mathcal{A}_c^a + \mathcal{T}_\beta^a(0) \mathcal{K}_c^\beta \quad (57)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_b^a \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\lambda^a = \mathcal{A}_\lambda^a + \mathcal{T}_\beta^a(0) \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\beta \quad (58)$$

We notice that these results are consistent with ones we found before [1].

2.3 Integrability Conditions and Curvature Relations

We have already figured out relations between connection one forms, (37) and (38) for M -space, (57) and (58) for H -space, which leads to corresponding curvature relations via second Cartan structural equation. We start with taking exterior derivative of (37), and then insert in related Cartan's equations, and finally use the results (37), (38), (57) and (58) to obtain

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\lambda^\alpha + \tilde{\Omega}_b^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b(0) = \Omega_\lambda^\alpha + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0) \Omega_\lambda^a \quad (59)$$

where $\Omega_\bullet^\alpha$ is the curvature two form associated with the space whose indices are used. If we insert the expressions for curvature two forms, and use pseudoduality equations, one gets after some calculations

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu c}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\nu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda c\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda cd}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0)) \quad (60)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu d}^\alpha = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu d}^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda cd}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda c\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0)) \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda c\nu}^\alpha = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda c\nu}^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda cd}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu d}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0)) \quad (62)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda cd}^\alpha = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda cd}^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu d}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda c\mu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_d^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0)) \quad (63)$$

where we defined $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha + \mathcal{T}_a^\alpha(0) \mathcal{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^a$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{b\mu\nu}^\alpha \mathcal{T}_\lambda^b(0)$. It can readily be seen that if one identifies a pseudoduality transformations $M \longrightarrow \tilde{M}$ and $H \longrightarrow \tilde{H}$, then one simply has the expected relations $R_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha = -\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\alpha$ and so on. If we generalize this formation to remaining constraint equations above, and curvature relations followed by them, one can easily writes

$$\tilde{\Omega}_B^A + \tilde{\Omega}_C^A \mathcal{T}_B^C(0) = \Omega_B^A + \mathcal{T}_C^A(0) \Omega_B^C \quad (64)$$

where the indices A, B and C stands for the indices corresponding to M or H -space elements depending on which relation is used. Therefore, curvature relations will be

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu\nu}^A = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu\nu}^A + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu c}^A \mathcal{T}_\nu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bc\nu}^A \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bcd}^A \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0)) \quad (65)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu d}^A = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu d}^A + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bcd}^A \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bc\nu}^A \mathcal{T}_\mu^c(0) \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0)) \quad (66)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{Bc\nu}^A = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bc\nu}^A + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bcd}^A \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu d}^A \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) \mathcal{T}_\nu^d(0)) \quad (67)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{Bcd}^A = -(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bcd}^A + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu d}^A \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{Bc\mu}^A \mathcal{T}_d^\mu(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{B\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{T}_c^\mu(0) \mathcal{T}_d^\nu(0)) \quad (68)$$

3 Component Expansion Method

In this section we work out the pseudoduality by components. The superfield $\mathcal{G}(\sigma, \theta)$ is given by (5) in components. In the previous paper [2] we saw that equations of motion (6) and (7) gave us the following results

$$\chi = i\psi_- \psi_+ \quad (69)$$

$$\partial_- \psi_+ = 0 \quad (70)$$

$$\partial_+ \psi_- = [\psi_-, g^{-1} \partial_+ g] \quad (71)$$

$$\partial_+(g^{-1} \partial_- g) = [g^{-1} \partial_- g, g^{-1} \partial_+ g] \quad (72)$$

$$\partial_-(g^{-1} \partial_+ g) = 0 \quad (73)$$

We offer the solutions $g = g_R(\sigma^-)g_L(\sigma^+)$ and $\psi_\pm = \psi_{\pm L}(\sigma^+) + \psi_{\pm R}(\sigma^-)$ in the right and left moving components. Hence we observe that $\psi_{+R} = 0$ from equation (70), ψ_{-R} commutes with g_L from equation (71), and equations (72) and (73) depend only on σ^- and σ^+ respectively. Therefore we easily get the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_R \mathcal{G}_L$, where

$$\mathcal{G}_R = g_R(1 + i\theta^- \psi_{-R}) \quad (74)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_L = g_L(1 + i\theta^+ \psi_{+L} + i\theta^- \psi_{-L} - \theta^+ \theta^- \psi_{-L} \psi_{+L}) \quad (75)$$

Using these relations one may get the following expressions which will be needed in constructing pseudoduality and conserved currents

$$\mathcal{G}_L^{-1} D_+ \mathcal{G}_L = i\psi_{+L} + i\theta^+ g_L^{-1} \partial_+ g_L \quad (76)$$

$$(D_- \mathcal{G}_R) \mathcal{G}_R^{-1} = i g_R \psi_{-R} g_R^{-1} + i\theta^{-1} (\partial_- g_R) g_R^{-1} \quad (77)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{-1} D_- \mathcal{G} = i\psi_{-R} + i\theta^- g^{-1} \partial_- g + \theta^+ \theta^- [g^{-1} \partial_- g, \psi_{+L}] \quad (78)$$

We may decompose the fields $g^{-1} \partial_\pm g = k_\pm + A_\pm$ and $\psi_\pm = \phi_\pm + B_\pm$ on symmetric space, where $k_\pm, \phi_\pm \in \mathfrak{m}$ are the bosonic and fermionic symmetric space field components, and $A_\pm, B_\pm \in \mathfrak{h}$ are the corresponding gauge fields. If one indicates these fields in terms of right and left expressions, it is evident that $k_+ = k_{+L}$, $k_{+R} = 0$, $A_+ = A_{+L}$, $A_{+R} = 0$, $k_- = g_L^{-1} k_{-R} g_L$, $A_- = g_L^{-1} A_{-R} g_L$, $\phi_{+R} = B_{+R} = 0$. Hence one can write the superfield decompositions (10) as follows

$$\mathcal{K}_{+L} = i\phi_{+L} + i\theta^+ k_{+L} \quad (79)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_- = i\phi_{-R} + i\theta^- k_- + \theta^+ \theta^- ([A_-, \phi_{+L}] + [k_-, B_{+L}]) \quad (80)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{+L} = iB_{+L} + i\theta^+ A_{+L} \quad (81)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_- = iB_{-R} + i\theta^- A_- + \theta^+ \theta^- ([k_-, \phi_{+L}] + [A_-, B_{+L}]) \quad (82)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{+R} = \mathcal{A}_{+R} = 0$. Equations of motion in components following from (12) and (13) will be

$$\phi_{+-R} = \phi_{+-L} = k_{+-R} = k_{+-L} = 0 \quad (83)$$

$$A_{+-R} = A_{+-L} = B_{+-R} = B_{+-L} = 0 \quad (84)$$

$$[B_{-R}, \phi_{+L}] = -[\phi_{-R}, B_{+L}] \quad (85)$$

$$\{B_{-R}, k_{+L}\} = -\{\phi_{-R}, A_{+L}\} \quad (86)$$

$$A_- \phi_{+L} = -k_- B_{+L} \quad (87)$$

$$k_{-+} = -\{k_-, A_{+L}\} - \{A_-, k_{+L}\} - i[[A_-, \phi_{+L}], B_{+L}] \quad (88)$$

$$-i[[k_-, B_{+L}], B_{+L}] - i[[k_-, \phi_{+L}], \phi_{+L}] - i[[A_-, B_{+L}], \phi_{+L}]$$

$$[\phi_{-R}, \phi_{+L}] = -[B_{-R}, B_{+L}] \quad (89)$$

$$k_- \phi_{+L} = -A_- B_{+L} \quad (90)$$

$$\{B_{-R}, A_{+L}\} = -\{\phi_{-R}, k_{+L}\} \quad (91)$$

$$A_{-+} = -\{A_-, A_{+L}\} - \{k_-, k_{+L}\} - i[[k_-, \phi_{+L}], B_{+L}] \quad (92)$$

$$-i[[A_-, B_{+L}], B_{+L}] - i[[A_-, \phi_{+L}], \phi_{+L}] - i[[k_-, B_{+L}], \phi_{+L}]$$

where $[,]$ denotes commutation, and $\{ , \}$ denotes anticommutation relation. By means of (87) and (90), equations (88) and (92) can be simplified as follows

$$k_{-+} = -\{k_-, A_{+L}\} - \{A_-, k_{+L}\} - i\{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}A_- \quad (93)$$

$$A_{-+} = -\{A_-, A_{+L}\} - \{k_-, k_{+L}\} - i\{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}k_- \quad (94)$$

Similar expressions on pseudodual manifold can be written using tilde over each term. We may now establish the pseudoduality relations. We will first analyze non-mixing pseudoduality case which will lead mixing case to be well comprehended in turn.

3.1 Pseudoduality: Non-Mixing Case

Before considering the general case, we figure out the simplest case where mixing part of the pseudoduality map in (25) vanishes, $\mathcal{T}_a^\alpha = 0$. Let us first work out pseudoduality on symmetric space M , and then consider H -space since they are mutually dependent on each other. We think of $\mathcal{T}$ as a function of superfield X , and can be expanded as in the first section (1), $\mathcal{T}(\sigma, \theta) = T(\sigma^+) + \theta^+ \lambda_+(\sigma^+)$. Consequently pseudoduality relations in

components on M are written as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{+L}^\alpha = T_\beta^\alpha \phi_{+L}^\beta \quad (95)$$

$$\tilde{k}_{+L}^\alpha = T_\beta^\alpha k_{+L}^\beta + (\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha \phi_{+L}^\beta \quad (96)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{-R}^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha \phi_{-R}^\beta \quad (97)$$

$$\tilde{k}_-^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha k_-^\beta \quad (98)$$

$$(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha \phi_{-R}^\beta = 0 \quad (99)$$

$$[\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^\alpha + [\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha ([A_-, \phi_{+L}]^\beta + [k_-, B_{+L}]^\beta) + i(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha k_-^\beta \quad (100)$$

Likewise pseudoduality relations on H can be expanded in components as

$$\tilde{B}_{+L}^a = T_b^a B_{+L}^b \quad (101)$$

$$\tilde{A}_{+L}^a = T_b^a A_{+L}^b + (\lambda_+)_b^a B_{+L}^b \quad (102)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{-R}^a = -T_b^a B_{-R}^b \quad (103)$$

$$\tilde{A}_-^a = -T_b^a A_-^b \quad (104)$$

$$(\lambda_+)_b^a B_{-R}^b = 0 \quad (105)$$

$$[\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^a + [\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^a = -T_b^a ([k_-, \phi_{+L}]^b + [A_-, B_{+L}]^b) + i(\lambda_+)_b^a A_-^b \quad (106)$$

When we take the corresponding (+) covariant derivative of (97), we obtain that $(\mathfrak{D}_+ T_\beta^\alpha) \phi_{-R}^\beta = 0$, where $\mathfrak{D}$ is the covariant derivative acting on $\mathbf{m}$ -space. Together with equation (99) we are left with two options: First option is to consider that T_β^α is constant and $(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha$ is zero. This is consistent with the results we found in our previous work, which leads to flat space pseudoduality

$$\tilde{k}_{+L}^\alpha = k_{+L}^\alpha \quad \tilde{k}_-^\alpha = -k_-^\alpha \quad (107)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{+L}^\alpha = \phi_{+L}^\alpha \quad \tilde{\phi}_{-R}^\alpha = -\phi_{-R}^\alpha \quad (108)$$

with the corresponding bracket relations (100)

$$[\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^\alpha = -[A_-, \phi_{+L}]^\alpha \quad [\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^\alpha = -[k_-, B_{+L}]^\alpha \quad (109)$$

Second option is to have $\phi_{-R} = 0$, which leads to $\tilde{\phi}_{-R} = 0$. In this case the isometry T_β^α can be found by taking $\mathfrak{D}_+$ of (98), which leads to

$$(\mathfrak{D}_+ T_\beta^\alpha) k_-^\beta = T_\beta^\alpha \{k_-, A_{+L}\}^\beta + \{\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}^\alpha \quad (110)$$

with the constraint anti-commutation relation

$$\{\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}^\alpha + i\tilde{f}_{\beta a}^\alpha \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}^\beta \tilde{A}_-^a = -T_\beta^\alpha \{A_-, k_{+L}\}^\beta - iT_\beta^\alpha f_{\nu a}^\beta \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}^\nu A_-^a \quad (111)$$

$\tilde{k}_-$ and $\tilde{A}_{+L}$ can be replaced using (98) and (102). Hence it is realized that T_β^α is a function of bosonic gauge field A_{+L} . On the other hand $(\lambda_+)^\alpha_\beta$ can be found by (100)

$$i(\lambda_+)^\alpha_\beta k_-^\beta = [\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^\alpha + T_\beta^\alpha [k_-, B_{+L}]^\beta \quad (112)$$

with the bracket relation

$$[\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha [A_-, \phi_{+L}]^\beta \quad (113)$$

where unknown tilded expressions can be substituted back using related equations above. It is observed that $(\lambda_+)^\alpha_\beta$ is given in terms of the fermionic gauge field B_{+L} .

Now we apply the same reasoning to H -space equations. We take $\mathfrak{D}'_+$ of (103), and have that $(\mathfrak{D}'_+ T_b^a) B_{-R}^b = 0$, where $\mathfrak{D}'$ is the covariant derivative acting on $\mathbf{h}$ -space. We again notice that we have two different options to satisfy this equation as well as (105). First option is to pick T_b^a to have a constant, and $(\lambda_+)_b^a$ vanishing value. This is compatible with the first option above and results in the previous work. This gives rise to the following flat space pseudoduality equations

$$\tilde{A}_{+L}^a = A_{+L}^a \quad \tilde{A}_-^a = -A_-^a \quad (114)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{+L}^a = B_{+L}^a \quad \tilde{B}_{-R}^a = -B_{-R}^a \quad (115)$$

along with the bracket relations

$$[\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^a = -[k_-, \phi_{+L}]^a \quad [\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^a = -[A_-, B_{+L}]^a \quad (116)$$

Second option is to choose $B_{-R} = 0$, which will bring about $\tilde{B}_{-R} = 0$ respectively. In this case T_b^a can be found by taking $\mathfrak{D}'_+$ of (104), which will cause

$$(\mathfrak{D}'_+ T_b^a) A_-^b = T_b^a \{A_-, A_{+L}\}^b + \{\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}^a \quad (117)$$

with the complemental equation

$$\{\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}^a + i\tilde{f}_{\alpha\beta}^a \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}^\alpha \tilde{k}_-^\beta = -T_b^a \{k_-, k_{+L}\}^b - iT_b^a f_{\alpha\beta}^b \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}^\alpha k_-^\beta \quad (118)$$

where $\tilde{A}_-$ and $\tilde{A}_{+L}$ can be substituted with the relevant equations above. Consequently we are aware that T_b^a is a function of bosonic gauge field A_{+L} similar to T_β^α . $(\lambda_+)_b^a$ can be found using (106)

$$i(\lambda_+)_b^a A_-^b = [\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^a + T_b^a [A_-, B_{+L}]^b \quad (119)$$

with the associated bracket relation

$$[\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^a = -T_b^a [k_-, \phi_{+L}]^b \quad (120)$$

where $\tilde{A}_-$ and $\tilde{B}_{+L}$ can be replaced using related equations. We notice that $(\lambda_+)_b^a$ is a function of B_{+L} which is analogous to $(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha$. Although it seems that both $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ -space expressions are independent of each other, they are decomposed subspaces of $\mathbf{g}$, and accordingly has to satisfy constraints arising from $\mathbf{g}$. Because of this reason we will conclude that vanishing $(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha$ implies vanishing $(\lambda_+)_b^a$, likewise if ϕ_{-R} is set to zero, we have to consider $B_{-R} = 0$, which agrees with the result found in the previous work [2]. We know that commutation relations found above leads to the corresponding relations between connection two forms, which in turn give rise to relevant relations between curvatures.

3.2 Pseudoduality: Mixing Case

In this section we will consider the pseudoduality transformation that causes mixing of M and H -spaces by allowing mixing components of $\mathcal{T}$. Again the matrix $\mathcal{T}$ can be written in the form which has already been imposed by the constraints on G as $\mathcal{T} = T + \theta^+ \lambda_+$. On M -space pseudoduality equations will be

$$\tilde{\phi}_{+L}^\alpha = T_\beta^\alpha \phi_{+L}^\beta + T_a^\alpha B_{+L}^a \quad (121)$$

$$\tilde{k}_{+L}^\alpha = T_\beta^\alpha k_{+L}^\beta + T_a^\alpha A_{+L}^a + (\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha \phi_{+L}^\beta + (\lambda_+)_a^\alpha B_{+L}^a \quad (122)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{-R}^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha \phi_{-R}^\beta - T_a^\alpha B_{-R}^a \quad (123)$$

$$\tilde{k}_-^\alpha = -T_\beta^\alpha k_-^\beta - T_a^\alpha A_-^a \quad (124)$$

$$0 = (\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha \phi_{-R}^\beta + (\lambda_+)_a^\alpha B_{-R}^a \quad (125)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^\alpha + [\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^\alpha &= -T_\beta^\alpha ([A_-, \phi_{+L}]^\beta + [k_-, B_{+L}]^\beta) + i(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha k_-^\beta \\ &\quad - T_a^\alpha ([k_-, \phi_{+L}]^a + [A_-, B_{+L}]^a) + i(\lambda_+)_a^\alpha A_-^a \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

and on H -space we obtain the following pseudoduality equations

$$\tilde{B}_{+L}^a = T_b^a B_{+L}^b + T_\beta^a \phi_{+L}^\beta \quad (127)$$

$$\tilde{A}_{+L}^a = T_b^a A_{+L}^b + T_\beta^a k_{+L}^\beta + (\lambda_+)_b^a B_{+L}^b + (\lambda_+)_\beta^a \phi_{+L}^\beta \quad (128)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{-R}^a = -T_b^a B_{-R}^b - T_\beta^a \phi_{-R}^\beta \quad (129)$$

$$\tilde{A}_{-}^a = -T_b^a A_{-}^b - T_\beta^a k_{-}^\beta \quad (130)$$

$$0 = (\lambda_+)_b^a B_{-R}^b + (\lambda_+)_\beta^a \phi_{-R}^\beta \quad (131)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{k}_{-}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^a + [\tilde{A}_{-}, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^a &= -T_b^a ([k_{-}, \phi_{+L}]^b + [A_{-}, B_{+L}]^b) + i(\lambda_+)_b^a A_{-}^b \\ &\quad - T_\beta^a ([A_{-}, \phi_{+L}]^\beta + [k_{-}, B_{+L}]^\beta) + i(\lambda_+)_\beta^a k_{-}^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

Let us find the constraint relations on pseudoduality transformations using the equations of motion. Hence we take (+) covariant derivative of (123), and obtain

$$(\mathfrak{D}_+ T_\beta^\alpha) \phi_{-R}^\beta + (\mathfrak{D}_+ T_a^\alpha) B_{-R}^a = 0 \quad (133)$$

If one deals with this equation together with (125), one can obtain two different conditions. First condition imposes that T_β^α and T_a^α are constants and chosen to be identity, and $(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha$ and $(\lambda_+)_a^\alpha$ vanish. Therefore one may obtain the pseudoduality equations

$$\tilde{k}_{+L}^\alpha = k_{+L}^\alpha + T_a^\alpha(0) A_{+L}^a \quad \tilde{k}_{-}^\alpha = -k_{-}^\alpha - T_a^\alpha(0) A_{-}^a \quad (134)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{+L}^\alpha = \phi_{+L}^\alpha + T_a^\alpha(0) B_{+L}^a \quad \tilde{\phi}_{-R}^\alpha = -\phi_{-R}^\alpha - T_a^\alpha(0) B_{-R}^a \quad (135)$$

with the constraint bracket relation

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{A}_{-}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^\alpha + [\tilde{k}_{-}, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^\alpha &= -[A_{-}, \phi_{+L}]^\alpha - [k_{-}, B_{+L}]^\alpha \\ &\quad - T_a^\alpha(0) ([k_{-}, \phi_{+L}]^a + [A_{-}, B_{+L}]^a) \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

where $T_a^\alpha(0)$ represents the mixing component of T which is identity. We see that once we have the duality relations (134) and (135) we must have the bracket relation (136) on both spaces. We observe that mixings are included by means of gauge fields A and B .

Second condition on $\mathbf{m}$ -space is given by setting both ϕ_{-R} and B_{-R} equal to zero. We are careful at this point because we must have both fields vanishing. This is because these two fields form the fermionic field ψ on space $\mathbf{g}$ which leads both fields to disappear simultaneously when split on $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ -spaces. Therefore we have $\tilde{\phi}_{-R} = 0$ from (123). To find T_β^α and T_a^α

we take (+) covariant derivative of (124), which will lead to two independent equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{D}_+ T_\beta^\alpha) k_-^\beta &= T_\beta^\alpha \{k_-, A_{+L}\}_G^\beta + T_a^\alpha \{k_-, k_{+L}\}_G^a + iT_a^\alpha f_{\beta\lambda}^a \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}_G^\beta k_-^\lambda \\
&\quad - \{Tk_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}_G^\alpha - \{Tk_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}_G^\alpha - i\tilde{f}_{\beta a}^\alpha \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}_G^\beta T_\lambda^a k_-^\lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{D}_+ T_a^\alpha) A_-^a &= T_\beta^\alpha \{A_-, k_{+L}\}_G^\beta + T_a^\alpha \{A_-, A_{+L}\}_G^a + iT_\beta^\alpha f_{\lambda a}^\beta \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}_G^\beta A_-^a \\
&\quad - \{TA_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}_G^\alpha - \{TA_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}_G^\alpha - i\tilde{f}_{\beta a}^\alpha \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}_G^\beta T_b^a A_-^b
\end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

where $\{, \}_G$ represents anticommutation relation in G . We used the independence of k_- and A_- in deriving this equation, and they can be cancelled out to give transformation matrices. Terms with tilde can be replaced by nontilded ones using pseudoduality equations above, and hence giving T_β^α and T_a^α in terms of A_{+L} , k_{+L} , B_{+L} and ϕ_{+L} . These are coupled equations and can be solved perturbatively to yield terms up to the second order terms as we did in our previous works. In this case fermionic transformation matrices will be

$$i(\lambda_+)_\beta^\alpha k_-^\beta = T_\beta^\alpha [k_-, B_{+L}]_G^\beta + T_a^\alpha [k_-, \phi_{+L}]_G^a - [Tk_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]_G^\alpha - [Tk_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]_G^\alpha \tag{139}$$

$$i(\lambda_+)_a^\alpha A_-^a = T_\beta^\alpha [A_-, \phi_{+L}]_G^\beta + T_a^\alpha [A_-, B_{+L}]_G^a - [TA_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]_G^\alpha - [TA_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]_G^\alpha \tag{140}$$

which are functions of fermionic terms ϕ_{+L} and B_{+L} after cancelling k_- and A_- respectively. Again tilded terms can be replaced by nontilded ones using corresponding pseudoduality equations above. We notice that the constraint relations (118) and (120) found in nonmixing pseudoduality case turns out to be expressions for transformation matrices in mixing case. We understand that in the absence of mixing pseudoduality transformation imposes some constraints which correspond to mixing part of pseudoduality.

In a similar way one can figure out pseudoduality on H -space. We take (+) covariant derivative of (129)

$$(\mathfrak{D}_+' T_b^a) B_{-R}^b + (\mathfrak{D}_+' T_\beta^a) \phi_{-R}^\beta = 0 \tag{141}$$

When considered together with (131) one finds two conditions on pseudoduality. First condition is to pick T_b^a and T_β^a constant, and $(\lambda_+)_b^a$ and $(\lambda)_\beta^a$

vanishing. Of course these are dependent on conditions (134) and (135) on $\mathbf{m}$ -space and can not be independently set to zero. Therefore pseudoduality equations will be

$$\tilde{A}_{+L}^a = A_{+L}^a + T_\beta^a(0)k_{+L}^\beta \quad \tilde{A}_-^a = -A_-^a - T_\beta^a(0)k_-^\beta \quad (142)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{+L}^a = B_{+L}^a + T_\beta^a(0)\phi_{+L}^\beta \quad \tilde{B}_{-R}^a = -B_{-R}^a - T_\beta^a(0)\phi_{-R}^\beta \quad (143)$$

where we chose the constant matrices to be identity. These equations adopt the following constraint relation

$$[\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]^a + [\tilde{A}_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]^a = -[k_-, \phi_{+L}]^a + [A_-, B_{+L}]^a - T_\beta^a(0)([A_-, \phi_{+L}]^\beta + [k_-, B_{+L}]^\beta) \quad (144)$$

Our second condition is to choose $B_{-R} = \phi_{-R} = 0$. This leads to $\tilde{B}_{-R} = 0$ on $\tilde{H}$. Transformation matrices can be found by taking (+) covariant derivative of (130) as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{D}'_+ T_b^a) A_-^b &= T_b^a \{A_-, A_{+L}\}_G^b + T_\beta^a \{A_-, k_{+L}\}_G^\beta + iT_\beta^a f_{\lambda b}^\beta \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}_G^\lambda A_-^b \\ &\quad - \{T A_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^a - \{T A_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^a - i\tilde{f}_{\alpha\beta}^a \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^\alpha T_b^\beta A_-^b \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{D}'_+ T_\beta^a) k_-^\beta &= T_b^a \{k_-, k_{+L}\}_G^b + T_\beta^a \{k_-, A_{+L}\}_G^\beta + iT_b^a f_{\alpha\beta}^b \{B_{+L}, \phi_{+L}\}_G^\alpha k_-^\beta \\ &\quad - \{T k_-, \tilde{A}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^a - \{T k_-, \tilde{k}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^a - i\tilde{f}_{\alpha\beta}^a \{\tilde{B}_{+L}, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}\}_{\tilde{G}}^\alpha T_\lambda^\beta k_-^\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

These are coupled differential equations, and can be solved perturbatively. It is obvious that T_b^a and T_β^a are functions of k_{+L} , ϕ_{+L} , A_{+L} and B_{+L} . Fermionic transformation matrices can be found by

$$i(\lambda_+)_b^a A_-^b = T_b^a [A_-, B_{+L}]_G^b + T_\beta^a [A_-, \phi_{+L}]_G^\beta - [T A_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]_{\tilde{G}}^a - [T A_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]_{\tilde{G}}^a \quad (147)$$

$$i(\lambda_+)_\beta^a k_-^\beta = T_b^a [k_-, \phi_{+L}]_G^b + T_\beta^a [k_-, B_{+L}]_G^\beta - [T k_-, \tilde{\phi}_{+L}]_{\tilde{G}}^a - [T k_-, \tilde{B}_{+L}]_{\tilde{G}}^a \quad (148)$$

which are functions of fermionic terms ϕ_{+L} and B_{+L} . Tilded terms on right-hand sides can be replaced using corresponding pseudoduality equations. Again these terms turn into constraint relations when mixing components of T vanish.

4 Discussion

We analyzed the pseudoduality conditions on the supersymmetric extension of G/H sigma models on both manifolds M and $\tilde{M}$, and orthonormal coframe bundles $SO(M)$ and $SO(\tilde{M})$. We first discussed the pseudoduality transformation on the pullback bundles of $SO(M)$ and $SO(\tilde{M})$, and only considered the mixing case. We generalized the discussion in [1], and found similar results that pseudoduality restricts the general form of the transformation matrix $\mathcal{T}$ which yields identity transformation between subspaces H and M . We observed that mixing of subspaces H and M led to mixing forms of curvature relations. We next worked out the component expansion of superfield $\mathcal{G}(\sigma, \theta)$ (5) by splitting into right and left moving superfields as $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_R \mathcal{G}_L$ by means of splitted form of fields $g = g_R(\sigma^-)g_L(\sigma^+)$ and $\psi_{\pm} = \psi_{\pm L}(\sigma^+) + \psi_{\pm R}(\sigma^-)$ and equations of motion (69)-(73). We have seen that we obtained the symmetric space extension of pseudoduality conditions in super WZW models. These conditions imply that constraint relations on H and M spaces are not independent from each other, and satisfy the constraint relations on G . Therefore we conclude that vanishing any field on one space implies the corresponding field to vanish on the other space. We also obtained that constraint relations in non-mixing case disappear when mixing terms are added to the pseudoduality equations. This requires the existence of mixing terms in pseudoduality equations.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank O. Alvarez for his comments, helpful discussions, and reading an earlier draft of the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Sarisaman, *Pseudoduality Between Symmetric Space Sigma Models*, hep-th/0904.4087/.
- [2] M.Sarisaman, *Pseudoduality In Supersymmetric Sigma Models*, hep-th/0904.4408/.
- [3] E. A. Ivanov, *Duality in $d = 2$ sigma models of chiral field with anomaly*, Theor. Math. Phys. 71 (1987) 474-484.

- [4] O. Alvarez, *Target space pseudoduality between dual symmetric spaces*, Nucl. Phys. B582 (2000) 139, hep-th/0004120/.
- [5] O. Alvarez, *Pseudoduality in Sigma Models*, Nucl.Phys. B638 (2002) 328-350, hep-th/0204011/.
- [6] T. Curtright and C.Zachos, *Currents, charges, and canonical structure of pseudochiral models*, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 5408-5421, hep-th/9401006/.
- [7] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983.
- [8] P.C. West, *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, World Scientific, 1986.
- [9] S.J. Gates Jr., M.T. Grisaru, M. Roček, W. Siegel, *Superspace or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry*, Benjamin/Cummings Publ., 1983.
- [10] P. Freund, *Introduction to Supersymmetry*, Campridge Univ. Press, 1986.
- [11] E. Witten, *Nonabelian bozonization in two dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455-472.
- [12] J. M. Evans, M. Hassan, N. J. MacKay, and A. J. Mountain, *Local conserved charges in principal chiral models*, Nucl. Phys. B561 (1999) 385412, hep-th/9902008/.
- [13] J. M. Evans, M. Hassan, N. J. MacKay, and A. J. Mountain, *Conserved charges and supersymmetry in principal chiral and WZW models*, Nucl. Phys. B580 (2000) 605646, hep-th/0001222/.
- [14] J.M. Evans, D. Kagan and C.A.S. Young, *Non-local charges and the quantum integrability of sigma models on the symmetric spaces $SO(2n)/SO(n) \times SO(n)$ and $Sp(2n)/Sp(n) \times Sp(n)$* , Physics Letters B 597 (2004) 112.
- [15] J.M. Evans, D. Kagan, N.J. MacKay and C.A.S. Young, *Quantum higher-spin local charges in symmetric space sigma models*, Journal of High Energy Physics 0501 (2005) 020.

- [16] M.Sarisaman, *Pseudoduality and Conserved Currents in Sigma Models*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, Vol. 24, No. 2 (2009) pp. 123-134.
- [17] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [18] B.O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [19] J.A. Wolf, *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, Publish or Perish, Inc., fifth ed., 1984.
- [20] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, *An Introduction to Lie Groups and the Geometry of Homogeneous Spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Student Mathematical Library 22, 2003.